

CORINNA TOWNSHIP BOARD MEETING  
Tuesday, July 19, 2011, 7 p.m.  
Corinna Township Hall  
9801 Ireland Avenue NW, Annandale, MN

Unofficial Minutes

Present: Chairman John Dearing; Vice-Chairman Richard Naaktgeboren; Supervisor Chuck Carlson; Clerk/Treasurer Mary Barkley Brown; Deputy Clerk/Treasurer Jennifer Kemp

Others in Attendance: Planning and Zoning Administrator Ben Oleson; Planning Commission Chair Charlotte Quiggle; Tim Young; Brian Marx; T Sin [sic]; Melvin Dykhuizen; Dennis Dircks; Jeannine Dircks; Chick Lease; Michael lease; Travis Kotzer; Chris Tuchtenhagen; C J Tuchtenhagen; Cheryl Wagner; Dave Wagner; Kathryn Jonsrud; Jim Adams; Deb Adams; Lloyd Walburn; Ronita Walburn; Dave Levi; Sue Levi; Jon Halvorson; Judy Halvorson; Carter Diers; Orville Jonsrud; Ruth Westhoff; Bill Westhoff; Bernie Miller; Rose Thelen; Mike Zieska; Tom Nimmo; James Dearing; Al Jude

Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance was at 7:00 p.m.

July 5, 2011 Town Board Meeting Minutes: A motion was made by Carlson, seconded by Naaktgeboren, to approve the July 5, 2011 Town Board Meeting Minutes. Motion carried unanimously.

Agenda for the July 19, 2011 Town Board Meeting: A motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to approve the Agenda for the July 19, 2011 Town Board Meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

Maintenance Men: Work order log; work orders # 48, 51, 73, 81; 84; 85; 86; 88; 89; 90; 91; 92; 94; 95: Reviewed.  
Maintenance Outlook Report: Reviewed.

Crack Seal, Seal Coating, Dust Coating and Road Striping: All done except parking lot seal coat and seal coat repair on Isaak Avenue NW.

Recommendations from the Planning Commission Meeting of 7/7/2011:

- a. Variance to construct a 28ft x 28 ft detached garage approximately 33 feet from the centerline of a township road (65 feet required). Applicant(s): Mark and Holly Bertelsen. Property Address: 11469 Lathrop Ave NW, Annandale. Sec/Twp/Range: 5 and 6-121-27. Parcel Number(s): 206000064100 and 206000053312.
- b. Land Alteration permit for the movement of approximately 350 cubic yards of earth and materials to be used as fill. Fill will be used to create a building pad for a detached garage to be located on a steep slope and to create a driveway leading to the garage. Applicant(s): Mark and Holly Bertelsen. Property Address: 11469 Lathrop Ave NW, Annandale. Sec/Twp/Range: 5 and 6-121-27. Parcel Number(s): 206000064100 and 206000053312.

There was a discussion regarding the amount of fill requested, how it would be used and about the existing two-stall garage.

A motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to accept the Corinna Planning Commission's recommendation to deny Bertelsen's requests for a Variance and a Land Alteration Permit, and to accept the findings of fact as presented in the Staff Report. Motion carried unanimously.

- c. Land Alteration Permit for the movement of approximately 650-980 cubic yards of earth and materials to be used as fill. Fill will be used to create a building pad for a dwelling/garage and a driveway that will meet required floodplain elevations. Applicant(s): Stephen and Maria Sanocki. Property Address: Between 9531 and 9581 Jeske Ave NW, Annandale. Sec/Twp/Range: 16-121-27. Parcel Number(s): 206031000320

Oleson stated that a storm water management plan is required before starting the second phase. Stephen and Maria Sanocki may need a variance to build a house on the property. Stephen and Maria Sanocki understand that this Land Alteration Permit does not guarantee that they will be able

to build a house on the site. They may have to install culverts during the second phase. Phase one is required to not impinge on the neighboring lots. Phase two may only be done after providing a detailed storm water management plan to show how neighboring lots will be protected. Phase one requires temporary erosion control until stabilized. There will be a retaining wall built in phase two, which will be permanent.

A motion was made by Carlson, seconded by Dearing, to accept the Corinna Planning Commission's recommendation for approval of the Land Use Permit and to accept the findings of fact and conditions listed in the Staff Report. Motion carried unanimously.

- d. Variance to enlarge an existing dwelling by adding a second story loft under a 10/12 roof pitch approximately 60 feet from Somers Lake (100 ft required) and 7.5 feet from the east (side) lot line. Variance to construct a new, detached garage with a 10/12 roof pitch and 8 ft of headroom (max. allowed 6/12 pitch and 6 ft of headroom) approximately 48 feet from the centerline of a township road (min. 65 feet required) and approximately 15 feet from a septic drain field (min. 20 feet required). Applicant(s): Travis Kotzer. Property Address: 6411 – 80<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Maple Lake. Sec/Twp/Range: 25-121-27. Parcel Number(s): 206017003060

Oleson explained that the Corinna Planning Commission recommended approval of the setback Variances, but denial of the roof pitch Variance for the garage. Kotzer will, instead, attach the garage, so the garage roof pitch is no longer an issue and there is no need for the garage roof pitch Variance. Kotzer submitted a new site plan with calculations showing that the total impervious coverage will be 24.8%. He did this by removing parts of the deck, pavers, driveway and removing a couple of sheds. The impervious calculations are based on a survey, calculated by the applicant. Oleson handed out copies of the new house and garage plans that were revised on 7/19/2011, with the garage attached.

A motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to accept the Corinna Planning Commission's recommendation to accept the setback Variances, and deny the roof pitch Variance on a detached garage, and to accept the findings of fact and conditions (including using the new floor plan proposal submitted 7/19/2011) listed in the Staff Report. Motion carried unanimously.

- e. Variance to construct a new 8.5 x 11.2 foot dwelling addition and replace existing roof with higher 8/12 pitch roof approximately 70 feet from Mink Lake (min. 100 ft required). Applicant(s): Dennis Cullip. Property Address: 8221 Greer Ave NW, Maple Lake. Sec/Twp/Range: 24-121-27. Parcel Number(s): 206020002050

Oleson explained the project, noting that Cullip will remove an existing sun porch. This project will require a separate Conditional Use Permit for fill related to the submitted storm water management plan, which was developed in cooperation with Wright Co. SWCD. A motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to accept the Corinna Planning Commission's recommendation to approve the Variance request, and to accept the findings of fact and conditions listed in the Staff Report. Motion carried unanimously.

Michael Lease Variance – Mr. Lease was previously granted a Variance in April 2011. Oleson explained that Lease recently submitted his house plans and that they include dormers. The dormers were not part of the original discussion when the Variance was approved. The house is on a holding tank. There had been some discussion regarding whether “exact replacement” means any increase in floor space, which is Wright County's position according to B. Rhineberger, or whether the fact that the footprint is not expanding and the number of bedrooms is not increasing is considered “exact replacement,” which is how the Corinna Board of Adjustment decided to interpret it. Wright County has expressed concern with this Variance regarding the additional floor space. Now the dormers have been added, creating another issue. The original proposal was to expand the size of the house 5' out – and not go up. They later decided to go up instead. This plan was approved by the Corinna BOA on a 4/1 vote. Then the Town Board approved the Corinna Planning Commission's recommendation for the Variance.

Michael Lease addressed the Town Board and stated, “We were approved to go up. When we brought the plans to an engineer – he said that the dormers make more sense – so that you can walk in the loft without hitting your head on the sides.”

Carlson and Naaktgeboren said that they find the neighbor's house to be a far greater issue. Oleson stated that the thing that is different is the dormers. The Corinna BOA looked at the plan with the dormers at their July 7 meeting and voted 4/1 to allow the dormers. Naaktgeboren stated that we should have accurate plans before approval in the future.

A motion was made by Carlson, seconded by Naaktgeboren, to accept the Corinna BOAs recommendation to accept the plan with dormers, as the footprint and the number of bedrooms did not change. Motion carried unanimously.

Cedar Acres Park: 6/10/2011 Email to Brian Marx, President of Cedar Acres Park, from P and Z Administrator Ben Oleson; 6/27/2011 Research by Naaktgeboren. Town Board Supervisors have observed the site several times in past weeks. DNR is the enforcing agency regarding docks and enforcement issues on bodies of water and should be notified by complainant. Wright County Sheriff's Department is the enforcing agency regarding noise violations and complainant should call the Wright County Sheriff's Department immediately when there is a noise violation. Letter of 7/12/2011 to the Corinna Town Board of Supervisors was reviewed.

Chairman John Dearing had Dick Naaktgeboren take over the informal, fact-finding investigation regarding Cedar Acres Park. Parties that had expressed interest in this issue were previously invited to participate via an e-mail from Corinna Zoning Administrator Ben Oleson. Some had asked to be on the agenda for tonight.

Naaktgeboren stated: We are holding an informal, fact-finding meeting. We have been investigating past history, complaints, and allegations regarding both the Cedar Acres Association and the surrounding area referred to as "Turtle Bay". The purpose of the discussion will be to try to establish some basic facts about the property, its use in the past, whether it qualifies as a legal, non-conforming use under Appendix A, Section 405.1 of the Corinna Township Zoning Ordinance and the MN Statutes 394.36 and whether the use of the property is in violation of any Township Ordinances. The Township Board is particularly interested in developing facts about the following questions:

1. Documents already obtained indicate there has been camping on the property since the mid-1970s. We are trying to determine if there is any written or photographic evidence to suggest otherwise?
2. We are also looking for written, photographic, or other evidence regarding whether the amount of camping has expanded in a significant manner since the 1970s? Those who wish to speak to these questions, or relevant matters that the Township has jurisdiction over are welcome to speak. Keep it short – relevant- and just new information. We are not here to talk about noise. The Wright County Sheriff's Department controls noise. Call the Sheriff if you have a noise complaint. Docks – the DNR and Wright County Sheriff's Water Patrol control issues regarding docks. Disturbance of aquatic plants by boaters and others - the DNR and Wright County Sheriff's Water Patrol control issues regarding aquatic plants. The microphone is right here. State your name and come on up.

Dave Levi, 7876 Irvine Avenue, NW: I am spokesman for concerned citizens around the area of Cedar Acres. Clearly, simple, we feel it is your responsibility to enforce the existing ordinance as it relates to the land use on the property known as Cedar Acres Park. We would like to see where you are at on this, and reserve the right to speak again after receiving your opinion.

Lloyd Walburn, 8041 Isaak Avenue, NW: I am here on behalf of Cedar Acres, Inc. I was involved in the incorporation of the association. We started back in 1974 on this. And in about 1976 we had the final documentation for the incorporation. A letter came back from the government asking, what is the land use? We stated it was for recreational use – camping, picnics, and enjoyment of the lake by members of Cedar Acres. I did not find any pictures going back that far. In the 1970's – it was mostly tent camping and pop up tent trailers. Only Vince Kittok had an RV then. As years went by we saw different economic turns. In tough economic times, as times got tougher, more camping was done. That is kind of the way it is now today – because of the tough economic times we are seeing more of our members camping. I feel that once the economy turns around – you probably won't see as much.

Chuck Carlson: Approximately how many tents in the beginning?

Walburn: In 1984 the scout's tents ranged between 15 and 20 tents.

Chuck Carlson: Before that?

Walburn: There were usually half dozen tents or so in the 1970's.

Carlson: At that time, you did not have porta-potties then?

Walburn: There were no porta-potties then.

Jim Adams, 8685 79<sup>th</sup> Street, NW: I joined the Cedar Acres Park in 1974. I have personally camped there since 1976 or 1977. There were 6 to 8 couples with tents and pop-up tent trailers at that time. Been doing it ever since. When I bought in at Cedar Acres I was told that this was a spot to recreate, camp, etc. I am relatively sure that new people buying in Cedar Acres now are doing the same thing. I bought here because we could camp there. I grew up in south Minneapolis. I moved here because of that. I still camp there. We have to assume, because the State also assumed, that the Native Americans would learn how to get lights and so forth so that they could spear walleyes that tents trailers and tents may have gotten bigger since then.

Brian Marx, 8900 81<sup>st</sup> Street NW: I am the President of the Cedar Acres Association. I have lived here 8 years. The Cedar Acres Park was a big reason I moved here. I have deeded access next to it. I have lived on other lakes. This has just been a big bonus. I have used the park every year. It has been kept up well. I don't know of complaints to police as far as noise. It is a big bonus for my family.

Dave Levi: You asked if there was any evidence we could present to show the level of activity prior to the 1976 ordinance. And, frankly, about all we have is people's recollections – people who have lived on the lake. My father-in-law was there since the 1960's. The Halvorsons have been there for many more years than that. Last year, during the rezoning hearing, you got a letter from the Generuds which spoke about their presence on the lake for many, many years. Dan's recollection of a relatively low level of activity, of, quote "camping", on the Cedar Acres Park in those days. So I don't know how we determine the absolute level of activity short of some record keeping which in the Cedar Acres by-laws, it looked like there was some requirement for that when they formed, but I don't know if that's ever been done or not. I think it is indisputable that the level of activity has substantially increased since 1976. When I say indisputable - Lloyd - I think you know the activity was a lot less then, than it is now – whether that's 2 campers or 20 campers, or whatever it is – you would acknowledge that the level has increased, would you?

Walburn: The main weekends there was camping - always has been on the main weekends, the 4<sup>th</sup> of July, Father's day, a few of those – that's a given...

Levi: I'm talking the frequency as well as the velocity of camping - both combined - that kind of makes the magnitude of the totality an issue here. Both the frequency and the number of campers has increased. I think you also have to back to the intent of agreement between the plaintiffs in 1973 and FBR or FGR or whatever the name was – the developer - mentioned nothing about camping at Cedar Acres. It talked about it being a park for use to recreate, swim and so forth, but there was no mention of camping, at all, in that agreement. I don't know that camping was excluded, but it certainly was not included as something that would classify Cedar Acres Park as a campground, which it is commonly referred to now by people, and I believe someone even referred to Rose Thelen recently about how they had discovered this great new campground on Cedar Lake. I think you've seen some correspondence on that. I guess our feeling is... I can present that to you later.

Carlson: I would like to see it.

Levi: I had it somewhere – I will dig it out. Where we're sitting – Rose – view on that? But I see nowhere any reference to camping at Cedar Lake specifically. Where there is a specific reference in the ordinance that refers to camping, it is limited to one RV per residential lot – the same as I have on my lot – or any of the other neighbors who are impacted here and in the immediate vicinity. So, with that – that is background on the non-conforming use issue. (Levi gave an analogy regarding John and a speeding ticket.) Just because it has been done in the past – if it wasn't right in the past, it doesn't make it right today and it doesn't make it right in the future. Thank you.

Jim Adams: I don't believe John's driving record has anything to do with this.

Levi: It was an analogy.

Adams: I am not an attorney. Evidently you learned how to speak someplace. We bought our homes in Cedar Acres because of Cedar Acres Park. Now, I don't know what I am personally going to do, or what Cedar Acres Park is going to do, at this very second, but there are things coming down the pike is all I can say. I not going to stand by and let you, or you, or anyone else here tell me that I can't camp down there, because I have been camping since 1974 and I'm still going to do it. And where you get one camper, I've got no clue.

Charlotte Quiggle. It's the Ordinance.

Adams: What Ordinance? Where are you getting this from?

Quiggle: The Wright County Ordinance.

Adams: The Wright County Ordinance?

Quiggle: And the Corinna Ordinance.

Adams: OK. Again – the State of Minnesota, I believe found that the Native Americans can use spears, lights and guns. I think we can have a camper down there.

Quiggle: I'm Charlotte Quiggle and I am the Chair of the Corinna Planning Commission. I have a lot of thoughts on this but I'll just ask the Cedar Acres folks one question, which is, when exactly did you get licensed by the MN Department of Health to run a recreational camping area? Because they have very strict rules and if you have more than 4 RVs – you are required to be licensed by the MN Department of Health. But very specifically – septic, water and other facilities in the campground. So - are you licensed?

Adams: Of course we're not. You know that.

Walburn: But the thing of it is – we are not a public campground.

Quiggle: It doesn't matter. The Department of Health says whether privately or publically owned, used on a daily, nightly, weekly, or longer basis, of 5 or more tents or recreational vehicles – free of charge or for compensation. So if there are more than 4 tents or Rvs, you are required to be licensed by the Department of Health.

Adams: Did you just say 5 or more?

Quiggle: More than 4, so 5 or more. So since there are regularly more than 4 Rvs there – and according to you guys, that's been going on for years, you have been in violation of the Department of Health regulations for years. Not just the zoning.

Adams: We just found out. We will get a license.

Quiggle: Well, there are an awful lot of things you need to do to get a license including...

Adams: Why don't you help us out instead of just standing up there?

Quiggle: Including paperwork from the land use regulator, which in this case is Corinna, and would have previously been Wright County...

Adams: Maam, I'm sorry.

Quiggle: You need certain septic things; you need a place for Rvs to deposit their waste. I haven't seen any of that that is required, on that land. And that's a concern when it is right by a lake.

Dennis Dircks, 8660 78<sup>th</sup> Street NW: I live in Cedar Acres. I have seen a lot of e-mails going to the township and the county about new public campground on Cedar Lake. It is not public. It is private. Only the people that live there and their families and friends are the only people that are ever down there. No – we don't have a big septic system, but all the new campers, most of them are self-contained for septic. We have a satellite that we have pumped weekly. No – we don't have a dumping station. We don't dump when people leave. They go home and they take their campers and take their waste with them. It is not public. It is not being rented out to the public. It probably is being used more – but the economy is a lot tougher now, where people can't go out of state, so they've got facilities right in their own yard, almost, we have right there. We use the facilities that were given to us when we bought the property here. If you can only have one camper there, because it's your piece of property, how many pieces of property are in Cedar Acres? If Jim Adams is there one weekend does that mean I can't go there? I've got a piece of property there, too. FGR set this up for us. So, I don't know if there are 50, 60, 80, or 100 pieces of property in Cedar Acres...I would guess more like 40 or 50, We don't want 40-50 campers down there every weekend, we don't want it any different than it basically is. But, in that case every family should get to take one camper down there. Thank you.

Jeannine Dircks, 8660 78<sup>th</sup> Street NW: My husband is Dennis Dircks. I am the Treasurer of the Cedar Acres Association. There are 20 members of the park that belong and pay dues. Out of those members, only seven families have reserved the park for weekends. They have a good time there; they picnic, use the beach, and really just take care of it. I am down there every week and I check it every week. It has been well-taken care of – mowed – everyone is very cautious. There are not a ton of people down there every weekend. Not all families reserve the park.

Naaktgeboren: Has that been going on for many years that it has been about that many members and that many that use it?

Jeannine Dircks: In the early 1070's, we have notes, Brian Marx and I tore the notes apart and we found a long time ago there were 18 families with signed signatures that belonged to the park. A lot of those members have passed away. So it is basically the same amount of families, more or less, 5 families.

Levi: I think the question was usage, not the number of families that belonged.

Jeannine Dircks: We had different functions going on down there. They had pork/pig roasts. I remember buying tickets when we lived down there. Vince Kittok had pig roasts, etc. We were trying to get more members to join. They had certain fundraisers going on down there and it was very simple, simply done, but they had varied usages down there. The property had a cabin for a while. And it wasn't fenced in. So we had to deal with a lot of violations, people trespassing, young kids, they destroyed things down there. We were always trying to make money to fix it up. We could not even put an outhouse there – they would just tear it down. Trespassers were destructive. Now that we have it fenced in – there have hardly been any violations down there at all. The boat's motors stay on. The cushions are there. No one runs away with anything. I really appreciate that we have had the money to put the fence there, as it has kept things from getting ruined.

Naaktgeboren: Anybody else? Where we head with this, we are going to look and see what options are out there for everybody involved here. We will at how the land is being used around the park, look at how the park is being used as of right now, and basically look at every option there is right now. We may or may not have hearings. We will go to our counsel and decide where we go from here, how we move as a board. We are not making a decision tonight.

Levi: We thank you for looking at the issue. This has been simmering for a long time and, in the interest of the neighborhood, we need resolution. For those that are affected, both from a standpoint of utilization of Cedar Acres, as well as those that are affected by the ramifications of the improper use of Cedar Acres – and in the meantime I urge you to carry out your responsibility in enforcing the existing ordinance until such time as you are able to make a determination on any non-conforming use decision.

Levi indicated that he would get the e-mail from Rose Thelen to Chuck Carlson.

Robert Balogh, Jr. – 8611 Griffith Avenue NW: Metro West letter of 9/28/10 sent certified mail to Robert Balogh regarding the condition of buildings at 8611 Griffith AV NW. The hazardous condition of the buildings was reported to Metro West Inspection Services on 7/29/10. Letter of 2/7/11 to Corinna from Attorney Tim Young. Memo of 2/10/11 from Tom Salkowski. In regard to noxious weeds: Mr. Balogh received a continuance for dismissal on the condition that he mows his grass by 5/20/2011; Emails of 6/13/2011 and 6/10/2011 with Wright County Commissioner Rose Thelen and Wright County Weed Inspector Ken Johnson; 6/22/2011 e-mail from Rose Thelen to Corinna; 6/22/2011 e-mail from Thelen to Flusemann; 6/23/2011 e-mail from Corinna to Sean Riley and Craig Schulz; 6/23/2011 e-mail from Thelen to Corinna; 6/30 e-mail from Sean Riley to Corinna. Clerk Brown contacted Craig Schulz for building inspection. Schultz report forwarded to Tim Young. Tim Young explained process and timelines to the Town Board.

Resolution 2011-14: Resolution ordering the razing of hazardous buildings located at 8611 Griffith Avenue NW, Maple Lake, MN 55358: A motion was made by Carlson, seconded by Dearing, to adopt Resolution 2011-14, a resolution ordering the razing of hazardous buildings located at 8611 Griffith Avenue NW, Maple Lake, MN 55358. Motion carried unanimously.

#### Requests to be on the Agenda:

1. "Safety Issues and Water Quality Issues Regarding North Sugar Lake Public Water Access": Mike Zieska, from Wright Soil and Water and Tom Nimmo, from the Sugar Lake Association, addressed the Town Board. They handed out a document stating their "Reasons for Proposed Closing of North Access on Sugar Lake". They said that the DNR bought more property by the south Sugar Lake access. Naaktgeboren state that there has been a big increase in traffic on the road leading to the south access. If the DNR wants to further expand the south access – the DNR should tar the road to accommodate the extra traffic, also. Nimmo said that the Sugar Lake Association has been trying to educate people about cleaning their boats as they go in and out of the water at the accesses. Zieska said that this action will help protect the lake from invasive species – and thus protect our tax base in the lake. They said they are trying to garner support for this idea to close the north access. Zieska stated that the road on the north access presents a safety issue with boats backing out onto the road and waiting in line on the road to use the access. Young asked if there had been any accidents. Zieska said none that he knows of. Naaktgeboren said that if the north access is closed, he hopes they will take out the asphalt and replace it with natural vegetation. Nimmo stated again they are looking for support. Will you let us say that we have your support? Dearing asked if they had talked to the neighbors on the south end of the access. Zieska said that no neighborhoods want more traffic. Naaktgeboren requested that when they talk to the DNR – please tell them Corinna Township would like to know their plans in the township ahead of time. The town board stated that they will support their proposal to close the north access of Sugar Lake.

2. "Ordinance Enforcement": Carter Diers, Halvorson, Westoff, Levi, Wagner, Jonsrud. Levi spoke for the group earlier in meeting under agenda item "Cedar Acres Park".

Other Business:

1. Planning Commission: Charlotte Quiggle, Chairman;  
Next Corinna Planning Commission Meeting: Tuesday, 8/9/11, 7 p.m., Town Hall.  
Clearwater/Pleasant Regional Park: Letter of 4/20/2011 to Greg Kryzer from John Peterson; 4/21/2011 letter to John Peterson from Greg Kryzer; Draft Road Maintenance Agreement; 5/18/ letter to Greg Kryzer from Tim Young; letter of 6/29/2011 from Greg Kryzer to Tim Young. There was a discussion about road maintenance of Kramer and Lathrop Avenues. Tim Young is setting up meeting with Kryzer.
  2. Jay Bowers - 8029 Iten AV NW: Received complaint regarding business possibly started in residential neighborhood. Letter of 4/25/2011 regarding possible zoning violation; e-mail of 5/3/2011; Second letter of 5/13/2011 sent to Bowers; 6/3/2011 Ben Oleson memo to Corinna; Ben Oleson checked property on 6/13/2011; Phone call of 6/27/11 from Bowers to Corinna; Phone call of 6/30/2011 to Ben Oleson. Oleson reported that Bowers said he is attempting to clean up property and needs until approximately 7/14/2011. Oleson will check the property on 7/25/2011.  
11723 Gulden Avenue NW: Dirt pile in road right-of-way. Maintenance men are to re-check; Phone calls of 6/27/11 to Corinna. Dirt pile will be removed when dry enough to move, per Williamson and Zieska.  
11001 108<sup>th</sup> Street NW: 6/13/2011 e-mail from Sean Riley to Corinna regarding sewer; 7/12/2011 e-mail from Sean Riley to Corinna was reviewed. Oleson will check the file at Wright County.  
9149 Ireland Avenue NW: Lawn was mowed 7/9/11/ and will be mowed 7/24/11.
  3. 108<sup>th</sup> Street: Some work began on 7/6/2011.  
108<sup>th</sup> Street-Notify Utility Companies: Dearing to notify Xcel – others.
  4. Wright Hennepin Electric Request to Install Underground Electrical: A motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to approve the request to install underground electrical along 78<sup>th</sup> Street and Isaak Avenue NW. Motion carried unanimously.
  5. Wright County Auditor/Treasurer: Redistricting training information was reviewed.
  6. Junk Amnesty Report: Saturday, 7/30/11. Clerk Brown gave an update on status of vendors and workers.
  7. Local Board of Appeal and Equalization Training Dates: Thur., 9/15/2011 1PM-4PM - John Dearing will attend.
  8. International Union of Operating Engineers: 6/24/2011 Letter to Corinna "Desire to Negotiate". Clerk Brown is to contact IUOE Representative, Kent Courrier to set up meeting.
- Any Other Business That May Come Before the Board:  
C.J. Tuchtenhagen observed the meeting in order to help receive a merit badge for Scouts. He and the Town Board talked about the role of township government.

Payment of Claims: Motion by Carlson, seconded by Naaktgeboren, to approve Direct Deposit Payroll Checks #266 through 269; EFT 2011-44 through EFT 2011-46, Check #16344 through Check #16359, in the amount of \$72,139.69. Motion carried unanimously.

With no further business to come before the board, a motion was made by Naaktgeboren, seconded by Carlson, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

August 2, 2011

---

Mary Barkley Brown, Clerk/Treasurer

---

John Dearing, Chairman